arXiv:hep-th/0212007v2 19 Dec 2002

On the effective lagrangian in spinor electrodynamics with added violation of Lorentz and CPT symmetries

Yurii A. Sitenko[*]

Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, National Academy of Sciences, 03143 Kyiv, Ukraine

and

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Berne, CH-3012 Berne, Switzerland

Ksenya Yu. Rulik [†]

Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, National Academy of Sciences, 03143 Kyiv , Ukraine

Abstract

We consider quantum electrodynamics with additional coupling of spinor fields to the space-time independent axial vector violating both Lorentz and CPT symmetries. The Fock-Schwinger proper time method is used to calculate the one-loop effective action up to the second order in the axial vector and to all orders in the space-time independent electromagnetic field strength. We find that the Chern-Simons term is not radiatively induced and that the effective action is CPT invariant in the given approximation.

PACS: 12.20.Ds; 11.10.Ef; 11.30.Er; 11.30.Cp; 03.65.Db
Keywords: Fock-Schwinger method; effective lagrangian; radiative corrections

1 Introduction

Although conservation of the Lorentz and CPT symmetries belongs to the fundamental laws of nature, various extensions of quantum electrodynamics and, more generally, the standard model with tiny violation of these symmetries have generated current interest in the last decade [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. In gauge vector sector of quantum electrodynamics a plausible extension is achieved [1] by adding a Chern-Simons term [6] to the conventional Maxwell term in the lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(A,k) = \frac{1}{4e^2} SpF^2 - k\tilde{F}A, \tag{1.1}$$

where A^μ is the electromagnetic potential, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the field strength tensor, $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}$ is its dual, Sp is the trace over the Lorentz indices: $SpMN = M_{\mu\nu}N^{\nu\mu}$, and metric of the Minkowski space is chosen as $g_{\mu\nu} = diag(1, -1, -1, -1)$. Second term in the right hand side of Eq.(1.1) is obviously non-gauge invariant, however, if vector k^μ is space-time independent, then the integral of the second term over the whole space-time is gauge invariant. Consequently, the action and equations of motion of the theory with lagrangian (1.1) are gauge invariant. Position independent vector k^μ selects a preferred direction in space-time, thus violating both the Lorentz and CPT symmetries. Observation of distant galaxies puts a stringent bound on the value of k^μ: it should effectively vanish [4, 7].

---

[*] E-mail: yusitenko@bitp.kiev.ua

[†] E-mail: xenia@univ.kiev.ua

An obvious extension of the spinor sector of quantum electrodynamics is

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A, b) = \overline{\psi}(\imath\hat{\partial} - \hat{A} + \hat{b}\gamma^5 - m)\psi, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\hat{\partial} = \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$, $[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]_+ = 2g^{\mu\nu}$ and $\gamma^5 = -\imath\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$. If vector b^μ is space-time independent, then a natural question arises, whether a Chern-Simons term can be radiatively induced as a result of interaction of quantized spinor fields in the theory with lagrangian (1.2). Different answers to this question have been obtained, which can be summarized as follows. Perturbative (and even nonperturbative but based on the Feynman diagram technique) calculations yield the induced Chern-Simons term with fixed coefficient, but the value of this coefficient differs depending on a concrete calculation scheme [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. This discrepancy is analyzed further, and the claim is made that the Chern-Simons term is induced with finite but intrinsically ambiguous coefficient [13, 14, 15]. There are also nonperturbative (nondiagrammatic or functional) approaches which yield either fixed or ambiguous values of the coefficient before the induced Chern-Simons term [16, 17, 18, 19]. At last there are rather diverse arguments that the Chern-Simons term is not radiatively induced [2, 3, 20, 21].

To shed more light on this problem, we shall compute the effective action of the theory with lagrangian (1.2) in the approximation keeping all orders of position independent electromagnetic field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ and up to the second order in position independent vector b^μ. We use the Fock-Schwinger [22, 23] proper time method and find out that, indeed, the Chern-Simons term is not induced. Moreover, the linear in b^μ terms are absent at all, and the effective action is parity invariant.

2 Effective action and its regularization

The effective action is obtained by integrating out the fermionic degrees of freedom in the theory with lagrangian (1.2):

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(A, b) &= -\imath \ln \int d\bar{\psi} d\psi \exp\left[\imath \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(\psi, \bar{\psi}, A, b)\right] = \\ &= -\imath \ln Det(\imath\hat{\partial} - \hat{A} + \hat{b}\gamma^5 - m) = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}^{eff}(A, b),\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}^{eff}(A, b) = -\imath tr < x | \ln(\imath\hat{\partial} - \hat{A} + \hat{b}\gamma^5 - m) | x > \tag{2.2}$$

is the effective lagrangian, and the trace over spinor indices is denoted by tr. In the most general case (i.e. for arbitrary space-time dependent vectors $A^\mu(x)$ and $b^\mu(x)$) the effective action can be represented as a sum of two terms

$$\Gamma(A,b) = -\frac{\imath}{2} Tr \ln \mathcal{H} - Tr \arctan[(\hat{\partial} + \imath\hat{A} - \imath\hat{b}\gamma^5)m^{-1}], \qquad (2.3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = -(\imath\hat{\partial} - \hat{A} + \hat{b}\gamma^5)^2 + m^2, \qquad (2.4)$$

and Tr is the trace of the differential operator in functional space: $TrU = \int d^4x$ $tr < x|U|x >$. Since the trace of odd number of γ-matrices vanishes, one gets relation

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu(x)} Tr \arctan[(\hat{\partial} + \imath\hat{A} - \imath\hat{b}\gamma^5)m^{-1}] = \frac{\delta}{\delta b_\mu(x)} Tr \arctan[(\hat{\partial} + \imath\hat{A} - \imath\hat{b}\gamma^5)m^{-1}] = 0, \qquad (2.5)$$

and, therefore, the second term in the right-hand side of Eq.(2.3) can be neglected as inessential. As to the first term in the right-hand side of Eq.(2.3), it can be related to the zeta function of operator $\mathcal{H}$ [24, 25, 26]

$$-\frac{\imath}{2} Tr \ln \mathcal{H} = \frac{\imath}{2} \left(\frac{d}{dz} Tr \mathcal{H}^{-z} \right) |_{z=0}. \qquad (2.6)$$

Using integral representation for the zeta function

$$Tr\mathcal{H}^{-z} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^{z-1} Tr e^{-\tau\mathcal{H}}, \qquad Re z > 0, \qquad (2.7)$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is the Euler gamma function, one gets integral representation for the effective action

$$\Gamma(A,b) = \frac{\imath}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} Tr e^{-\tau\mathcal{H}}. \qquad (2.8)$$

Taking functional derivatives of Eq.(2.3), let us define vector current

$$J^\mu(x) \equiv -\frac{\delta\Gamma(A,b)}{\delta A_\mu(x)} = \imath tr \gamma^\mu G(x,x), \qquad (2.9)$$

and axial-vector current

$$J^{\mu 5}(x) \equiv \frac{\delta\Gamma(A,b)}{\delta b_\mu(x)} = \imath tr \gamma^\mu\gamma^5 G(x,x), \qquad (2.10)$$

where

$$G(x,y) = < x|(\imath\hat{\partial} - \hat{A} + \hat{b}\gamma^5 + m)\mathcal{H}^{-1}|y >, \qquad (2.11)$$

is the Green's function. One can write integral representation for the latter:

$$G(x,y) = \int_0^\infty d\tau < x|(\imath\hat{\partial} - \hat{A} + \hat{b}\gamma^5 + m)e^{-\tau\mathcal{H}}|y > . \qquad (2.12)$$

In the case when b_μ is space-time independent, the effective action can be presented in the form

$$\Gamma(A,b) = \Gamma(A,0) + \int_0^1 du \int d^4x b_\mu J^{\mu 5}(x;u), \qquad (2.13)$$

where $J^{\mu 5}(x;u)$ is the axial-vector current with ub substituted for b.

It should be emphasized that most of the above relations are purely formal, since they suffer from ultraviolet divergencies. For instance, Green's function (2.11) is well-defined at $x \neq y$, and diverges at $x \to y$. To regularize the divergence, one introduces a cut off at the lower limit of the integral in representation (2.12). In this way one gets a regularized definition of currents (2.9) and (2.10), which, after appropriate integration, yield the regularized expression for the effective action.

In the present paper we restrict ourselves to the case of space-independent field tensor $F^{\mu\nu}$ and vector b^μ. Then operator $\mathcal{H}$ (2.4) takes form

$$\mathcal{H} = -\pi^\mu \pi_\mu - 2\imath\gamma^5\sigma^{\mu\nu}b_\mu\pi_\nu + \frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} + b^\mu b_\mu + m^2, \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$\pi_\mu = \imath\partial_\mu - A_\mu, \quad \sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\imath}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]_-. \tag{2.15}$$

Our aim is to find currents (2.9) and (2.10) and then effective lagrangian (2.2).

3 Proper time method

Rotating the integration path in Eq.(2.12) by angle $\pi/2$ in the anticlockwise direction (i.e. substituting τ by $\imath s$), we present the Green's function in the form

$$G(x,y) = \imath\int_0^\infty ds < x|(\hat{\pi} + \hat{b}\gamma^5 + m)e^{-\imath s\mathcal{H}}|y >, \tag{3.1}$$

where it is implied that the mass squared in $\mathcal{H}$ entails a small negative imaginary part, $m^2 \to m^2 - i\epsilon$. The idea of the proper time method of Fock [22] and Schwinger [23] is to treat operator $\mathcal{H}$ as a Hamilton operator that governs evolution in "time" s of a hypothetical quantum mechanical system. Then transition amplitude (matrix element of evolution operator $\exp(-\imath s\mathcal{H})$)

$$< x|e^{-\imath s\mathcal{H}}|y > \equiv < x(s)|y(0) >, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$|y(0) >= |y >, \qquad |x(s) >= e^{\imath s\mathcal{H}}|x >, \tag{3.3}$$

satisfies evolution equation

$$\imath\partial_s < x(s)|y(0) > = < x(s)|\mathcal{H}|y(0) >, \tag{3.4}$$

with boundary conditions

$$\lim_{s\to 0} < x(s)|y(0) > = \delta(x-y), \qquad \lim_{s\to\infty} < x(s)|y(0) > = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&[x^\mu, \pi^\nu]_- = -\imath g^{\mu\nu}, \qquad [\pi^\mu, \pi^\nu]_- = -\imath F^{\mu\nu},\\
&[\sigma^{\mu\nu}, \sigma^{\omega\rho}]_- = 2\imath(\sigma^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\omega} - \sigma^{\nu\rho}g^{\mu\omega} - \sigma^{\mu\omega}g^{\nu\rho} + \sigma^{\nu\omega}g^{\mu\rho}),\\
&[x^\mu, x^\nu]_- = [x^\mu, \sigma^{\omega\rho}]_- = [\pi^\mu, \sigma^{\omega\rho}]_- = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

are invariant under unitary transformation

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\mu}(s) &= e^{\imath s\mathcal{H}}X^{\mu}(0)e^{-\imath s\mathcal{H}}, \qquad \Pi^{\mu}(s) = e^{\imath s\mathcal{H}}\Pi^{\mu}(0)e^{-\imath s\mathcal{H}}, \\ \Sigma^{\mu\nu}(s) &= e^{\imath s\mathcal{H}}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}(0)e^{-\imath s\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$X^{\mu}(0) = x^{\mu}, \qquad \Pi^{\mu}(0) = \pi^{\mu}, \qquad \Sigma^{\mu\nu}(0) = \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \tag{3.8}$$

can be regarded as quantum mechanical observable operators in the Schrodinger representation, while $X^{\mu}(s), \Pi^{\mu}(s), \Sigma^{\mu\nu}(s)$ as those in the Heisenberg representation. The latter satisfy evolution equations:

$$\dot{X}^{\mu}(s) = \imath[\mathcal{H}, X^{\mu}(s)]_{-}, \quad \dot{\Pi}^{\mu}(s) = \imath[\mathcal{H}, \Pi^{\mu}(s)]_{-}, \quad \dot{\Sigma}^{\mu\nu}(s) = \imath[\mathcal{H}, \Sigma^{\mu\nu}(s)]_{-}. \tag{3.9}$$

Using the explicit form of Hamilton operator $\mathcal{H}$ and commutation relations (3.6), one can compute commutators in the right hand sides of Eq.(3.9), and then solve this system of equations. Using the solution, one can compute the matrix element in the right hand side of Eq.(3.4), and then solve this equation and find transition amplitude (3.2). The consistency relations must hold:

$$\begin{aligned} < x(s)|\Pi_{\mu}(s)|y(0) > &= [\imath\partial^{(x)}_{\mu} - A_{\mu}(x)] < x(s)|y(0) >, \\ < x(s)|\Pi_{\mu}(0)|y(0) > &= [-\imath\partial^{(y)}_{\mu} - A_{\mu}(y)] < x(s)|y(0) >, \\ < x(s)|X^{\mu}(s)|y(0) > &= x^{\mu} < x(s)|y(0) >, \\ < x(s)|X^{\mu}(0)|y(0) > &= y^{\mu} < x(s)|y(0) >, \\ < x(s)|\Sigma^{\mu\nu}(s)|y(0) > &= \sigma^{\mu\nu} < x(s)|y(0) >, \\ < x(s)|\Sigma^{\mu\nu}(0)|y(0) > &= < x(s)|y(0) > \sigma^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Let us find the transition amplitude in the case of $\mathcal{H}$ given by Eq.(2.14) with constant uniform electromagnetic field strength. System of evolution equations (3.9) takes form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X}^{\mu}(s) &= 2\Pi^{\mu}(s) - 2\imath\Sigma^{\mu\nu}(s)b_{\nu}\gamma^{5}, \quad \dot{\Pi}_{\mu}(s) = 2F_{\mu\nu}\Pi^{\nu}(s) - 2\imath F_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^{\nu\omega}(s)b_{\omega}\gamma^{5}, \\ \dot{\Sigma}^{\mu\nu}(s) &= 2[F^{\mu\omega}g_{\omega\rho}\Sigma^{\rho\nu}(s) - \Sigma^{\mu\omega}(s)g_{\omega\rho}F^{\rho\nu}] + 4\imath\{[\Pi^{\mu}(s)b_{\omega} - b^{\mu}\Pi_{\omega}(s)]\Sigma^{\omega\nu}(s) - \\ &\quad -\Sigma^{\mu\omega}(s)[\Pi_{\omega}(s)b^{\nu} - b_{\omega}\Pi^{\nu}(s)]\}\gamma^{5}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The system is solved in the linear in b approximation yielding, in obvious matrix notations,

$$\begin{aligned} & X(s) - X(0) = 2e^{Fs}\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}\Pi(0) - 2\imath e^{2Fs}\Sigma(0)\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}e^{-Fs}b\gamma^{5}, \\ & \Pi(s) = e^{2Fs}\Pi(0) - 2\imath e^{2Fs}F\Sigma(0)\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}e^{-Fs}b\gamma^{5}, \\ & \Sigma(s) = e^{2Fs}\Sigma(0)e^{-2Fs} + 4\imath e^{2Fs}\{[\Pi(0)be^{Fs}\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F} - \frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}e^{-Fs}b\Pi(0)]\Sigma(0) - \\ - \ & \Sigma(0)[\Pi(0)be^{Fs}\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F} - \frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}e^{-Fs}b\Pi(0)]\}e^{-2Fs}\gamma^{5}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Using two last relations in Eq.(3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= -\Pi^{2}(s) + 2\imath\gamma^{5}\Pi(s)\Sigma(s)b - \frac{1}{2}SpF\Sigma(s) + m^{2} = -\Pi^{2}(0) + \\ &+ 2\imath\gamma^{5}\Pi(0)\Sigma(0)b - \frac{1}{2}SpF\Sigma(0) + m^{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

The last equation states that the Hamilton operator in the Heisenberg representation coincides with that in the Schrodinger representation being independent of s, as it should be. However, the matrix element of $\mathcal{H}$ in the right hand side of Eq.(3.4) is s-dependent, and, to find this dependence, one has to express operator (3.13) through operators $X(s), X(0)$ and either $\Sigma(s)$ or $\Sigma(0)$. Using the first relation in Eq.(3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(0) &= \frac{e^{-Fs}F}{2\sinh(Fs)}[X(s)-X(0)] + \imath e^{Fs}\frac{F}{\sinh(Fs)}\Sigma(0)\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}e^{-Fs}b\gamma^5, \qquad (3.14)\\
\Pi(s) &= \frac{e^{Fs}F}{2\sinh(Fs)}[X(s)-X(0)] + \imath e^{-Fs}\frac{F}{\sinh(Fs)}\Sigma(s)\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}e^{Fs}b\gamma^5,
\end{aligned}$$

and, consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi^2(s) &= \frac{1}{4}[X(s)-X(0)]\frac{F^2}{\sinh^2(Fs)}[X(s)-X(0)] + \qquad (3.15)\\
&+ \imath[X(s)-X(0)]\frac{e^{-2Fs}F^2}{\sinh^2(Fs)}\Sigma(s)\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}e^{Fs}b\gamma^5.
\end{aligned}$$

Using commutational relation

$$[X_\mu(s), X_\nu(0)]_- = \imath\left(\frac{e^{Fs}\sinh(Fs)}{F}\right)_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (3.16)$$

and adding relevant terms to $-\Pi^2(s)$, we get $\mathcal{H}$ (3.13) as a proper-time-ordered function of X(s) and X(0)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} &= -\frac{1}{4}X(s)\frac{F^2}{\sinh^2(Fs)}X(s) + \frac{1}{2}X(s)\frac{F^2}{\sinh^2(Fs)}X(0) - \frac{1}{4}X(0)\frac{F^2}{\sinh^2(Fs)}X(0) - \\
&- \frac{\imath}{2}SpF\coth(Fs) - \frac{1}{2}SpF\Sigma(s) + m^2 + \qquad (3.17a)\\
&+ \imath[X(s)-X(0)]\frac{e^{-Fs}F}{\sinh(Fs)}\left[\Sigma(s) - \frac{e^{-Fs}F}{\sinh(Fs)}\Sigma(s)\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}e^{Fs}\right]b\gamma^5,
\end{aligned}$$

or, alternatively

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} &= -\frac{1}{4}X(s)\frac{F^2}{\sinh^2(Fs)}X(s) + \frac{1}{2}X(s)\frac{F^2}{\sinh^2(Fs)}X(0) - \frac{1}{4}X(0)\frac{F^2}{\sinh^2(Fs)}X(0) - \\
&- \frac{\imath}{2}SpF\coth(Fs) - \frac{1}{2}SpF\Sigma(0) + m^2 - \qquad (3.17b)\\
&- \imath\gamma^5 b\left[\Sigma(0) - \frac{e^{Fs}F}{\sinh(Fs)}\Sigma(0)\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}e^{-Fs}\right]\frac{e^{-Fs}F}{\sinh(Fs)}[X(s)-X(0)],
\end{aligned}$$

Using the last four relations in Eq.(3.10), we find two forms of the matrix element of $\mathcal{H}$

$$< x(s)|\mathcal{H}|y(0) >= P^{(a)}(x,y;s) < x(s)|y(0) >=< x(s)|y(0) > P^{(b)}(x,y;s), \qquad (3.18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(a)}(x,y;s) &= -\frac{1}{4}(x-y)\frac{F^2}{\sinh^2(Fs)}(x-y) - \frac{\imath}{2}SpF\coth(Fs) - \frac{1}{2}SpF\sigma + m^2 + \\ &+ \ \imath(x-y)\frac{e^{-Fs}F}{\sinh(Fs)}\left[\sigma - \frac{e^{-Fs}F}{\sinh(Fs)}\sigma\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}e^{Fs}\right]b\gamma^5, \end{aligned} \tag{3.19a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(b)}(x,y;s) &= -\frac{1}{4}(x-y)\frac{F^2}{\sinh^2(Fs)}(x-y) - \frac{\imath}{2}SpF\coth(Fs) - \frac{1}{2}SpF\sigma + m^2 - \\ &- \ \imath\gamma^5 b\left[\sigma - e^{Fs}\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}\sigma\frac{Fe^{-Fs}}{\sinh(Fs)}\right]\frac{e^{-Fs}F}{\sinh(Fs)}(x-y), \end{aligned} \tag{3.19b}$$

Substituting Eq.(3.19) into the right hand side of evolution equation (3.4), and solving the latter, we get two equivalent expressions for the transition amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned} < x(s)|y(0) >= &-\frac{\imath}{(4\pi)^2}\exp\left\{-\imath\int_y^x d\xi[A + \frac{1}{2}F(\xi-y)]\right\}\frac{1}{s^2}\times \\ \times \ &\exp\left[-\frac{\imath}{4}(x-y)F\coth(Fs)(x-y) - \frac{1}{2}Sp\ln\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{Fs} - \imath s m^2\right]\times \\ \times \ &\exp\left[(x-y)e^{-Fs}\frac{F}{\sinh(Fs)}\sigma\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}e^{Fs}b\gamma^5\right]\exp\left(\frac{\imath s}{2}SpF\sigma\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.20a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} < x(s)|y(0) >= &-\frac{\imath}{(4\pi)^2}\exp\left\{-\imath\int_y^x d\xi[A + \frac{1}{2}F(\xi-y)]\right\}\frac{1}{s^2}\times \\ \times \ &\exp\left[-\frac{\imath}{4}(x-y)F\coth(Fs)(x-y) - \frac{1}{2}Sp\ln\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{Fs} - \imath s m^2\right]\times \\ \times \ &\exp\left(\frac{\imath s}{2}SpF\sigma\right)\exp\left[-\gamma^5 b e^{Fs}\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}\sigma\frac{F}{\sinh(Fs)}e^{-Fs}(x-y)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.20b}$$

where the b dependent exponential should be understood as expanded up to the first order in b. Also we get relations

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^\mu < x(s)|\Pi_\mu(s)|y(0) > \ &= \ \left[\frac{1}{2}\gamma e^{Fs}\frac{F}{\sinh(Fs)}(x-y) + \imath\gamma e^{-Fs}\frac{F}{\sinh(Fs)}\sigma\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}e^{Fs}b\gamma^5\right]\times \\ &\times \ < x(s)|y(0) >, \end{aligned} \tag{3.21a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} < x(s)|\Pi_\mu(0)|y(0) > \gamma^\mu \ &= \ < x(s)|y(0) > \left[\frac{1}{2}(x-y)e^{Fs}\frac{F}{\sinh(Fs)}\gamma - \right. \\ &\left. -\imath\gamma^5 b e^{Fs}\frac{\sinh(Fs)}{F}\sigma\frac{F}{\sinh(Fs)}e^{-Fs}\gamma\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.21b}$$

and one can verify that the first two relations in Eq.(3.10) are valid. Consequently, we get two equivalent representations for Green's function $G(x,y)$ which, after rotating the integration path in Eq.(3.1) back ($s=-\imath\tau$), take form

$$\begin{aligned} G(x,y) = \int_0^\infty d\tau \Big[&\frac{\imath}{2}\gamma e^{-\imath F\tau}\frac{F}{\sin(F\tau)}(x-y) + \imath\gamma e^{\imath F\tau}\frac{F}{\sin(F\tau)}\sigma\frac{\sin(F\tau)}{F}e^{-\imath F\tau}b\gamma^5 + \\ &+\gamma b\gamma^5 + m\Big] < x(-\imath\tau)|y(0) >, \end{aligned} \tag{3.22a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G(x,y) = \int_0^\infty d\tau < x(-\imath\tau)|y(0) > \Big[&\frac{\imath}{2}(x-y)\frac{F}{\sinh(F\tau)}e^{-\imath F\tau}\gamma - \\ -\imath\gamma^5 b e^{-\imath F\tau}\frac{\sin(F\tau)}{F}\sigma\frac{F}{\sin(F\tau)}e^{\imath F\tau}\gamma - \gamma^5 b\gamma + m\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22b}$$

4 Currents

Inserting either Eq.(3.20a) or (3.20b) into Eqs.(3.22a) and (3.22b), taking limit $y \to x$ and retaining terms which are not higher than first order in b^μ, we get two equivalent expressions for vector current (2.9):

$$\begin{aligned} J^\mu &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau^2}\exp[-\tau m^2 - \frac{1}{2}Sp\ln\frac{\sin(F\tau)}{F\tau}] \times \\ &\times\ tr\gamma^5\gamma^\mu\gamma[\imath e^{\imath F\tau}\frac{F}{\sin(F\tau)}\sigma\frac{\sin(F\tau)}{F}e^{-\imath F\tau}+1]b\exp(\frac{\tau}{2}Sp\sigma F), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J'^\mu &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau^2}\exp[-\tau m^2 - \frac{1}{2}Sp\ln\frac{\sin(F\tau)}{F\tau}] \times \\ &\times\ tr\exp(\frac{\tau}{2}Sp\sigma F)b[\imath e^{-\imath F\tau}\frac{\sin(F\tau)}{F}\sigma\frac{F}{\sin(F\tau)}e^{\imath F\tau}+1]\gamma\gamma^\mu\gamma^5, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1b}$$

and for axial-vector current (2.10):

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\mu5} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau^2}\exp[-\tau m^2 - \frac{1}{2}Sp\ln\frac{\sin(F\tau)}{F\tau}] \times \\ &\times\ tr\gamma^\mu\gamma[\imath e^{\imath F\tau}\frac{F}{\sin(F\tau)}\sigma\frac{\sin(F\tau)}{F}e^{-\imath F\tau}+1]b\exp(\frac{\tau}{2}Sp\sigma F), \end{aligned} \tag{4.2a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J'^{\mu5} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau^2}\exp[-\tau m^2 - \frac{1}{2}Sp\ln\frac{\sin(F\tau)}{F\tau}] \times \\ &\times\ tr\exp(\frac{\tau}{2}Sp\sigma F)b[\imath e^{-\imath F\tau}\frac{\sin(F\tau)}{F}\sigma\frac{F}{\sin(F\tau)}e^{\imath F\tau}+1]\gamma\gamma^\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2b}$$

One can notice that

$$(J'^\mu)^* = J^\mu, \qquad (J'^{\mu5})^* = J^{\mu5}, \tag{4.3}$$

and, therefore, both vector and axial-vector currents have to be real, if representations (3.22a) and (3.22b) are indeed equivalent.

In order to take traces over γ-matrices in Eqs.(4.1)–(4.2), one uses expansion of the exponential of the σ-matrix,

$$\exp\left(\frac{\tau}{2}Sp\sigma F\right)=C_1(\tau)I+C_2(\tau)Sp\sigma F+C_3(\tau)\imath\gamma^5+C_4(\tau)\imath\gamma^5Sp\sigma F,\tag{4.4}$$

and relations

$$(\tilde{F}^2)_{\mu\nu}=(F^2)_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}SpF^2,\quad (F\tilde{F})_{\mu\nu}=(\tilde{F}F)_{\mu\nu}=\frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}Sp(F\tilde{F}),\tag{4.5}$$

$$\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(FK)_{\alpha\beta}=-(\tilde{F}K)^{\mu\nu}-(K\tilde{F})^{\mu\nu}+\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}SpK,$$

where $K_{\mu\nu}$ is an arbitrary symmetric second-rank Lorentz tensor, and evident identities $tr\gamma^5\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\sigma^{\alpha\beta}=-4\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$, $tr\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\sigma^{\alpha\beta}=-4\imath(g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta}-g^{\mu\beta}g^{\nu\alpha})$. Thus, we get

$$ReJ^\mu=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau m^2}\omega^{\mu\nu}(\tau)b_\nu,\quad ImJ^\mu=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau m^2}\rho^{\mu\nu}(\tau)b_\nu,\tag{4.6}$$

and

$$ReJ^{\mu5}=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau m^2}\omega_5^{\mu\nu}(\tau)b_\nu,\quad ImJ^{\mu5}=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau m^2}\rho_5^{\mu\nu}(\tau)b_\nu,\tag{4.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^{\mu\nu}(\tau)=-C_0(\tau)\Bigg\{&C_1(\tau)\left(\tilde{F}\frac{\sin 2F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu}+C_2(\tau)[4\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}-\left(\tilde{F}\frac{\sin 2F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu}SpF\cot F\tau]+\\ &+C_3(\tau)[(\sin 2F\tau)^{\mu\nu}-\left(\frac{\sin^2 F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu}SpF\cot F\tau]-C_4(\tau)[4(F\cos 2F\tau)^{\mu\nu}-\\ &-(\sin 2F\tau)^{\mu\nu}SpF\cot F\tau+2\left(\frac{\sin^2 F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu}SpF^2]\Bigg\},\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^{\mu\nu}(\tau)=C_0(\tau)\Bigg\{&2C_1(\tau)\left(\tilde{F}\frac{\sin^2 F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu}-2C_2(\tau)\left(\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}\frac{\sin^2 F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu}SpF\cot F\tau\ -\\ -\ &C_3(\tau)[2(\cos^2 F\tau)^{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\sin 2F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu}SpF\cot F\tau]-C_4(\tau)[4(F\sin 2F\tau)^{\mu\nu}-\\ &-2(\sin^2 F\tau)^{\mu\nu}SpF\cot F\tau-\left(\frac{\sin 2F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu}SpF^2]\Bigg\},\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_5^{\mu\nu}(\tau)=C_0(\tau)\Bigg\{&C_1(\tau)[2(\cos^2 F\tau)^{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\sin 2F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu}SpF\cot F\tau]+\\ &+C_2(\tau)\left[4(F\sin 2F\tau)^{\mu\nu}-2(\sin^2 F\tau)^{\mu\nu}SpF\cot F\tau-\left(\frac{\sin 2F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu}SpF^2\right]+\\ &+2C_3(\tau)\left(\tilde{F}\frac{\sin^2 F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu}-2C_4(\tau)\left(\tilde{F}\frac{\sin^2 F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu}SpF\cot(F\tau)\Bigg\},\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_5^{\mu\nu}(\tau) = -C_0(\tau)\Big\{ & C_1(\tau)[(\sin 2F\tau)^{\mu\nu} - \left(\frac{\sin^2 F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu} SpF\cot F\tau] - \\
- \quad & C_2(\tau)[4(F\cos 2F\tau)^{\mu\nu} - (\sin 2F\tau)^{\mu\nu} SpF\cot F\tau + 2\left(\frac{\sin^2 F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu} SpF^2] - \\
& -C_3(\tau)\left(\tilde{F}\frac{\sin 2F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu} - C_4(\tau)[4\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} - \left(\tilde{F}\frac{\sin 2F\tau}{F}\right)^{\mu\nu} SpF\cot F\tau]\Big\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.11}
$$

and notation

$$
C_0(\tau) = \tau^{-2}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}Sp\ln\frac{\sin F\tau}{F\tau}\right) \tag{4.12}
$$

is introduced for brevity. Coefficient functions $C_j(\tau)$ $(j = \overline{1,4})$ are given explicitly by expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_1(\tau) &= Re\cosh\left[\tau\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(-SpF^2 + \imath SpF\tilde{F})}\right], \\
C_2(\tau) &= Re\frac{\sinh\left[\tau\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(-SpF^2 + \imath SpF\tilde{F})}\right]}{\sqrt{2(-SpF^2 + \imath SpF\tilde{F})}}, \\
C_3(\tau) &= Im\cosh\left[\tau\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(-SpF^2 + \imath SpF\tilde{F})}\right], \\
C_4(\tau) &= Im\frac{\sinh\left[\tau\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(-SpF^2 + \imath SpF\tilde{F})}\right]}{\sqrt{2(-SpF^2 + \imath SpF\tilde{F})}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.13}
$$

and $C_0(\tau)$ (4.12) is expressed as (see Ref.[23])

$$
C_0(\tau) = SpF\tilde{F}[4C_3(\tau)]^{-1}. \tag{4.14}
$$

There are remarkable relations among $C_j(\tau)$:

$$
C_1(\tau)(\tan F\tau)^{\mu\nu} = 2[C_2(\tau)F^{\mu\nu} + C_4(\tau)\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}] \tag{4.15}
$$

and

$$
C_3(\tau)(\cot F\tau)^{\mu\nu} = 2[C_2(\tau)\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} - C_4(\tau)F^{\mu\nu}]. \tag{4.16}
$$

In Appendix we prove these relations and find out that

$$
\omega^{\mu\nu}(\tau) = \rho^{\mu\nu}(\tau) = \rho_5^{\mu\nu}(\tau) = 0, \tag{4.17}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_5^{\mu\nu}(\tau) &= -g^{\mu\nu}\{SpF^2 + \frac{[SpF^4 - \frac{1}{2}(SpF^2)^2](SpF\cot F\tau)^2 + 4(SpF^3\cot F\tau)^2}{2[4SpF^4 - (SpF^2)^2]}\} + \\
&+ (F^2)^{\mu\nu}\left[2 - \frac{SpF\cot F\tau(SpF^2 SpF\cot F\tau - 4SpF^3\cot F\tau)}{4SpF^4 - (SpF^2)^2}\right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.18}
$$

Thus, vector current J^μ (2.9) is vanishing, whereas axial-vector current $J^{\mu 5}$ (2.10) is real (as it should be) and divergent. Regularizing the divergence by introducing a small positive τ_0 as the lower limit of the τ-integral in Eq.(4.7), and separating the divergent at $\tau_0 \to 0$ term from the convergent one, we get

$$J^{\mu 5} = -\frac{b^\mu}{2\pi^2}\left[\frac{1}{\tau_0} + m^2 \ln(m^2 \tau_0 e^{\gamma - 1})\right] + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau m^2}\left[\omega_5^{\mu\nu}(\tau) + \frac{2}{\tau^2} g^{\mu\nu}\right] b_\nu, \quad (4.19)$$

where γ is the Euler constant.

5 Effective lagrangian

Using either (3.20a) or (3.20b), we get

$$< x(-\imath\tau)|x(0) >= \frac{\imath}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{1}{\tau^2}\exp\left[-\tau m^2 - \frac{1}{2} Sp \ln\frac{\sin(F\tau)}{F\tau}\right]\exp\left(\frac{\tau}{2} Sp\sigma F\right), \quad (5.1)$$

and, consequently,

$$\frac{\imath}{2}\int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} tr < x|e^{-\tau\mathcal{H}}|x >= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} e^{-\tau m^2} C_0(\tau) C_1(\tau), \quad (5.2)$$

where $C_0(\tau)$ and $C_1(\tau)$ are given by Eqs.(4.13) and (4.14). This coincides with the Schwinger's result [23], proving that the linear in b corrections to the effective action are absent, which is consistent with the vanishing of vector current J^μ. The nonvanishing of axial-vector current $J^{\mu 5}$ results in the appearance of the quadratic in b corrections to the effective action.

Really, Eq.(2.13), rewritten in terms of the effective lagrangian (density of the effective action), takes form

$$\mathcal{L}^{eff}(A, b) - \mathcal{L}^{eff}(A, 0) = \frac{1}{2} b_\mu J^{\mu 5}, \quad (5.3)$$

where we have used the linearity of $J^{\mu 5}$ (4.19) in b and integrated over parameter u. Thus, identifying $\mathcal{L}^{eff}(A, 0)$ with Eq.(5.2) we get

$$\mathcal{L}^{eff}(A, b) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau m^2}[\frac{1}{\tau} C_0(\tau) C_1(\tau) - b\omega_5(\tau) b], \quad (5.4)$$

where $\omega_5^{\mu\nu}(\tau)$ is given by Eq.(4.18) and the first term in square brackets can be also presented in a similar manner as Eq.(4.18):

$$C_0(\tau) C_1(\tau) = \frac{1}{16}\left[(SpF \cot F\tau)^2 - \frac{(SpF^2 SpF \cot F\tau - 4 SpF^3 \cot F\tau)^2}{4 SpF^4 - (SpF^2)^2}\right]. \quad (5.5)$$

Regularizing divergence of the integral in Eq.(5.4) by introducing cut off τ_0 and separating the divergent at $\tau_0 \to 0$ terms from the convergent ones, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{eff}(A, b) = {} & \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left[\frac{1}{\tau_0^2} - 2\frac{m^2}{\tau_0} - m^4 \ln(m^2 \tau_0 e^{\gamma - \frac{3}{2}})\right] - \frac{SpF^2}{3(4\pi)^2}\ln(m^2 \tau_0 e^{\gamma}) - \\ - & \frac{b^2}{(2\pi)^2}\left[\frac{1}{\tau_0} + m^2 \ln(m^2 \tau_0 e^{\gamma - 1})\right] - \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau m^2}\left[\frac{1}{\tau} C_0(\tau) C_1(\tau) - \right. \quad (5.6) \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{\tau^3} + \frac{SpF^2}{6\tau} - b\omega_5(\tau) b - 2\frac{b^2}{\tau^2}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Subtraction of terms in the first square brackets in the right hand side of Eq.(5.6) corresponds to the requirement that the effective lagrangian should vanish at vanishing F and b. Subtraction of other terms which are not included into the convergent integral corresponds to the redefinition (renormalization) of bare parameters of the lagrangian of the bosonic sector. Namely, the logarithmically divergent term which is proportional to SpF^2 is combined with the Maxwell term to produce the charge renormalization: $\frac{SpF^2}{4e^2} \to \frac{SpF^2}{4e^2_{ren}}$ [23]. In a quite similar way, the terms in the second square brackets in the right hand side of Eq.(5.6) are absorbed into the renormalization of the coefficient before b^2: $-\kappa^2 b^2 \to -\kappa^2_{ren} b^2$. Thus, we are left with the finite renormalized effective lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}^{eff}_{ren}(A,b) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau m^2}\left[\frac{1}{\tau}C_0(\tau)C_1(\tau) - \frac{1}{\tau^3} + \frac{SpF^2}{6\tau} - b\omega_5(\tau)b - 2\frac{b^2}{\tau^2}\right], \tag{5.7}$$

which with the use of Eqs.(4.18) and (5.5) is rewritten in explicit form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{eff}_{ren}(A,b) = {}& -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau m^2}\left(\frac{1}{16\tau}\left[(SpF\cot F\tau)^2 - \right.\right.\\ & \left. - \frac{(SpF^2 SpF\cot F\tau - 4SpF^3\cot F\tau)^2}{4SpF^4-(SpF^2)^2}\right] - \frac{1}{\tau^3} + \frac{SpF^2}{6\tau} + \\ & + b^2\left\{SpF^2 + \frac{[SpF^4 - \frac{1}{2}(SpF^2)^2](SpF\cot F\tau)^2 + 4(SpF^3\cot F\tau)^2}{2[4SpF^4-(SpF^2)^2]} - \frac{2}{\tau^2}\right\} - \\ & \left. - bF^2 b\left[2 - \frac{SpF\cot F\tau(SpF^2 SpF\cot F\tau - 4SpF^3\cot F\tau)}{4SpF^4-(SpF^2)^2}\right]\right).\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

In the case of weak field strength, $F^{\mu\nu} \ll m^2$, the last expression takes form

$$\mathcal{L}^{eff}_{ren}(A,b) = \frac{1}{12\pi^2}\left\{\frac{1}{120m^4}\left[7SpF^4 - \frac{5}{2}(SpF^2)^2\right] + \frac{1}{m^2}\left(bF^2b - \frac{1}{2}b^2 SpF^2\right)\right\}; \tag{5.9}$$

note that the terms of the zeroth order in b were first obtained more than 65 years ago by Heisenberg and Euler [27] and Weisskopf [28].

In the case of purely electric or magnetic field one has $SpF^4 = \frac{1}{2}(SpF^2)^2$ and $SpF^2 = 2E^2$ or $SpF^2 = -2H^2$, where E and H are the absolute values of the electric and magnetic field strengths, correspondingly. Expression (5.8) takes form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{eff}_{ren}(A,b) = {}& -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau m^2}\left\{\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\frac{E}{\tau}\cot E\tau - \frac{1}{\tau^2} + \frac{1}{3}E^2\right) - \right.\\ & \left. - 2[\mathbf{b}^2 - E^{-2}(\mathbf{bE})^2]\left(\frac{E^2}{\sin^2 E\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau^2}\right)\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

in the case of purely electric field with strength $\mathbf{E}$ $(|\mathbf{E}| = E)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{eff}_{ren}(A,b) = {}& -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau m^2}\left\{\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\frac{H}{\tau}\coth H\tau - \frac{1}{\tau^2} - \frac{1}{3}H^2\right) + \right.\\ & \left. + 2[(b^0)^2 - H^{-2}(\mathbf{bH})^2]\left(\frac{H^2}{\sinh^2 H\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau^2}\right)\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

in the case of purely magnetic field with strength $\mathbf{H}$ $(|\mathbf{H}| = H)$. Note that the effective lagrangian does not depend on the time component of b^μ in the case of purely electric field.

In the case of vector $\mathbf{E}$ directed along vector $\mathbf{H}$ one has $SpF^{2n} = 2[E^{2n} + (-1)^n H^{2n}]$, and expression (5.8) takes form

$$\mathcal{L}^{eff}_{ren}(A,b) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau m^2}\left\{\frac{1}{\tau}\left[EH\cot E\tau\coth H\tau - \frac{1}{\tau^2} + \frac{1}{3}(E^2 - H^2)\right] + \right.$$
$$\left. +2b^2\left(\frac{H^2}{\sinh^2 H\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau^2}\right) - 2\left[\mathbf{b}^2 - \frac{(\mathbf{bE})^2 + (\mathbf{bH})^2}{E^2 + H^2}\right]\left(\frac{E^2}{\sin^2 E\tau} - \frac{H^2}{\sinh^2 H\tau}\right)\right\} \quad (5.12)$$

At last, in the case of $E = H$, when $SpF^2 = 0$ and $SpF^4 = \frac{1}{4}(SpF\tilde{F})^2 = 4H^4\cos^2\theta$ (θ is the angle between vectors $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$), expression (5.8) takes form

$$\mathcal{L}^{eff}_{ren}(A,b) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau m^2}\left\{\frac{1}{\tau}\left[H^2\cos\theta\cot(\tau H\sqrt{\cos\theta})\coth(\tau H\sqrt{\cos\theta}) - \frac{1}{\tau^2}\right] - \right.$$
$$-2b^2H^2\left[\frac{\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}}{\sin^2(\tau H\sqrt{\cos\theta})} - \frac{\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}}{\sinh^2(\tau H\sqrt{\cos\theta})} + \frac{1}{\tau^2H^2}\right] -$$
$$\left. -[2\mathbf{b}^2H^2 - (\mathbf{bE})^2 - (\mathbf{bH})^2]\left[\frac{1}{\sin^2(\tau H\sqrt{\cos\theta})} - \frac{1}{\sinh^2(\tau H\sqrt{\cos\theta})}\right]\right\}. \quad (5.13)$$

6 Conclusion

In the present paper we have used the proper time method [22, 23] to calculate the effective action of the theory with lagrangian (1.2) in the case when electromagnetic field strength $F^{\mu\nu}$ and vector b^μ are space-time independent. Previous attempts to solve this task [17, 18, 19] were unconvincing , because the dependence of γ-matrices on the proper time had not been adequately taken into account. Really, since the commutator of Hamilton operator $\mathcal{H}$ (2.14) with σ is nonzero, the latter has to evolve in proper time as well as canonical variables, and the correct system of the evolution equations is given by Eq.(3.11)[1]. We solve this system in the linear in b approximation and get transition amplitude (3.20) and Green's function (3.22). Further, we find that vector current J^μ (2.9) is vanishing, which ensures that the Chern-Simons term is not induced, because, otherwise, the current would be nonvanishing, $J^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}k_\nu$, see Eq.(1.1). Moreover, the vanishing of J^μ means that corrections to the effective action of the first order in b are absent, and parity is not violated in this approximation, although it is explicitly violated in initial lagrangian (1.2). Also, we find that axial-vector current $J^{\mu 5}$ (2.10) is nonvanishing and is given by gauge invariant expressions (4.18)–(4.19). This allows us to get corrections to the effective action of the second order in b, and we find that the renormalized (finite) effective lagrangian is given by Eq.(5.8). It should be noted that the τ-integral for the quadratic in b terms in Eq.(5.8) is indeed convergent in the case of purely magnetic field only, see Eq.(5.11). In the case of nonvanishing electric field, when the terms of zeroth order in b develop nonvanishing imaginary part due to simple poles of the cotangent function, the τ-integral for the quadratic in b terms is divergent due to double poles of the inverse squared sine function, see Eqs.(5.10), (5.12) and (5.13). Thus, the latter τ-integral is not to be understood literally but, instead, regarded just as a mere algorithm to get terms up to any finite order in powers of F^2/m^4; in particular, for the lowest nonvanishing order, see Eq.(5.9).

---

[1] In the case of b^μ equal to zero, the evolution equation for $\Sigma(s)$ decouples, and Hamilton operator (3.13) loses the dependence on the evolution of $\Sigma(s)$, owing to relation $SpF\Sigma(s) = SpF\Sigma(0)$ in this case.

It should be emphasized that vanishing of vector current J^μ is related to the use of the approximation of the space-time independent field strength. If the field strength is inhomogeneous, then the current is nonvanishing even in the zeroth order in b. Whether the inhomogeneity of the field strength results in linear in b corrections to J^μ and, consequently, in parity violating terms in the effective action, remains to be an open question which needs further investigation.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by INTAS (grant INTAS OPEN 00-00055) and Swiss National Science Foundation (grant SCOPES 2000-2003 7 IP 62607).

Appendix

Let us consider quantity

$$\lambda^{\mu\nu}(\tau) = \omega^{\mu\nu} + (\rho \cot F\tau)^{\mu\nu} = -C_0\{4C_2\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + C_3[2(\cot F\tau)^{\mu\nu} - (F^{-1})^{\mu\nu} SpF \cot F\tau] + \\ +2C_4[2F^{\mu\nu} - (F^{-1})^{\mu\nu} SpF^2]\}. \tag{A.1}$$

Since $\lambda^{\mu\nu}$ contains only odd powers of the field strength, see Eqs.(4.8) and (4.9), its most general form is

$$\lambda^{\mu\nu}(\tau) = \Lambda_1(\tau)F^{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_2(\tau)\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \tag{A.2}$$

where

$$\Lambda_1(\tau) = \frac{4SpF^3\lambda(\tau) - SpF^2 SpF\lambda(\tau)}{(SpF^2)^2 + (SpF\tilde{F})^2},$$

$$\Lambda_2(\tau) = (SpF\tilde{F})^{-1}\left[SpF\lambda(\tau) - SpF^2\frac{4SpF^3\lambda(\tau) - SpF^2 SpF\lambda(\tau)}{(SpF^2)^2 + (SpF\tilde{F})^2}\right]. \tag{A.3}$$

Multiplying Eq.(A.1) by appropriate powers of the field strength and taking traces, we find

$$\begin{aligned} SpF\lambda(\tau) &= 2C_0(C_3 SpF \cot F\tau - 2C_2 SpF\tilde{F} + 2C_4 SpF^2), \\ SpF^3\lambda(\tau) &= -\frac{1}{2}C_0 SpF\tilde{F}(C_3 Sp\tilde{F} \cot F\tau + 2C_2 SpF^2 + 2C_4 SpF\tilde{F}). \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

By using the eigenvalue method of Schwinger (see Ref. [23]), one can express $SpF \cot F\tau$ and $Sp\tilde{F} \cot F\tau$ via SpF^2 and $SpF\tilde{F}$. The eigenvalue equation for F has four solutions with eigenvalues $\pm f^{(1)}$ and $\pm f^{(2)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(1)} &= \frac{\imath}{2\sqrt{2}}[(-SpF^2 + \imath SpF\tilde{F})^{\frac{1}{2}} + (-SpF^2 - \imath SpF\tilde{F})^{\frac{1}{2}}], \\ f^{(2)} &= \frac{\imath}{2\sqrt{2}}[(-SpF^2 + \imath SpF\tilde{F})^{\frac{1}{2}} - (-SpF^2 - \imath SpF\tilde{F})^{\frac{1}{2}}], \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

and eigenvalues of $\tilde{F}$ are related to those of F:

$$\tilde{f}^{(l)} = -\frac{SpF\tilde{F}}{4f^{(l)}}, \qquad l = 1, 2. \tag{A.6}$$

Thus we get

$$SpF \cot F\tau = 2[f^{(1)} \cot(f^{(1)}\tau) + f^{(2)} \cot(f^{(2)}\tau)], \tag{A.7}$$

and

$$Sp\tilde{F} \cot F\tau = -\frac{SpF\tilde{F}}{2f^{(1)}f^{(2)}}[f^{(2)} \cot(f^{(1)}\tau) + f^{(1)} \cot(f^{(2)}\tau)], \tag{A.8}$$

which, upon substitution of Eq.(A.5), yield

$$SpF \cot F\tau = \frac{2}{C_3}(C_2 SpF\tilde{F} - C_4 SpF^2) \tag{A.9}$$

and

$$Sp\tilde{F} \cot F\tau = -\frac{2}{C_3}(C_2 SpF^2 + C_4 SpF\tilde{F}). \tag{A.10}$$

The last relations ensure that traces in Eq.(A.4) are equal to zero, and consequently,

$$\lambda^{\mu\nu}(\tau) = 0. \tag{A.11}$$

Now, using Eq.(A.9), we can get rid of terms $(F^{-1})^{\mu\nu}$ in Eq.(A.1), and get relation (4.16) in Section 4.

Let us consider quantity

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_5^{\mu\nu}(\tau) = \omega_5^{\mu\nu} + (\rho_5 \cot F\tau)^{\mu\nu} = 2C_0 \Big\{ C_2[2(F \cot F\tau)^{\mu\nu} - g^{\mu\nu} SpF \cot F\tau] + C_3(\tilde{F}F^{-1})^{\mu\nu} + \\ + C_4[2(\tilde{F} \cot F\tau)^{\mu\nu} - (\tilde{F}F^{-1})^{\mu\nu} SpF \cot F\tau] \Big\} = \frac{1}{2}\left\{ \frac{C_2}{C_3} SpF\tilde{F}[2(F \cot F\tau)^{\mu\nu} - \right. \\ \left. - g^{\mu\nu} SpF \cot F\tau] + 4(\tilde{F}^2)^{\mu\nu} + \frac{C_4}{C_3}[2(F \cot F\tau)^{\mu\nu} SpF\tilde{F} - 4(\tilde{F}^2)^{\mu\nu} SpF \cot F\tau] \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

where in the last line Eq.(4.14) is used. Since $\lambda_5^{\mu\nu}$contains only even powers of the field strength, see Eqs.(4.10) and (4.11), its most general form is

$$\lambda_5^{\mu\nu}(\tau) = \Omega_1(\tau) g^{\mu\nu} + \Omega_2(\tau)(\tilde{F}^2)^{\mu\nu} = [\Omega_1(\tau) - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_2(\tau) SpF^2] g^{\mu\nu} + \Omega_2(\tau)(F^2)^{\mu\nu}, \tag{A.13}$$

where, due to the first relation in Eq.(4.5), either $(\tilde{F}^2)^{\mu\nu}$ or $(F^2)^{\mu\nu}$ can be chosen as complimentary to $g^{\mu\nu}$. Similarly to Eq.(A.3), scalar functions in Eq.(A.13) are related to appropriate traces:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1(\tau) &= \frac{1}{4} Sp\lambda_5(\tau) + \frac{1}{4} SpF^2 \frac{4SpF^2\lambda_5(\tau) - SpF^2 Sp\lambda_5(\tau)}{(SpF^2)^2 + (SpF\tilde{F})^2}, \\ \Omega_2(\tau) &= \frac{4SpF^2\lambda_5(\tau) - SpF^2 Sp\lambda_5(\tau)}{(SpF^2)^2 + (SpF\tilde{F})^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

Using Eqs.(A.9), (A.10) and first two relations in Eq.(4.5), we get

$$\Omega_1(\tau) = -8C_0^2(C_2^2 + C_4^2) = -\frac{(SpF\tilde{F})^2[(SpF\cot F\tau)^2 + (Sp\tilde{F}\cot F\tau)^2]}{8[(SpF^2)^2 + (SpF\tilde{F})^2]}, \quad \text{(A.15)}$$

and

$$\Omega_2(\tau) = 2[1 - 2C_3^{-2}C_4(C_2 SpF\tilde{F} - C_4 SpF^2)] =$$
$$= 2 + SpF\cot F\tau \frac{SpF^2 SpF\cot F\tau + SpF\tilde{F}Sp\tilde{F}\cot F\tau}{(SpF^2)^2 + (SpF\tilde{F})^2}. \quad \text{(A.16)}$$

Using Eqs.(A.9) and (4.16), we reduce Eq.(4.9) to the form

$$\rho^{\mu\nu}(\tau) = 2C_0[C_1 g^\mu_\beta - 2(C_2F^{\mu\alpha} + C_4\tilde{F}^{\mu\alpha})(\cot F\tau)_{\alpha\beta}]\left(\tilde{F}\frac{\sin^2 F\tau}{F}\right)^{\beta\nu}, \quad \text{(A.17)}$$

and, similarly, Eq.(4.11) with the use of Eq.(4.16) is reduced to the form

$$\rho_5^{\mu\nu}(\tau) = -C_0[C_1 g^\mu_\beta - 2(C_2F^{\mu\alpha} + C_4\tilde{F}^{\mu\alpha})(\cot F\tau)_{\alpha\beta}] \times$$
$$\times[(\sin 2F\tau)^{\beta\nu} - (\frac{\sin^2 F\tau}{F})^{\beta\nu} SpF\cot F\tau]. \quad \text{(A.18)}$$

Thus, in order to prove the vanishing of $\rho^{\mu\nu}$ and $\rho_5^{\mu\nu}$, it is sufficient to prove relation

$$C_1 g^\mu_\beta - 2(C_2F^{\mu\alpha} + C_4\tilde{F}^{\mu\alpha})(\cot F\tau)_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \quad \text{(A.19)}$$

First, using again Eq.(4.16), we get

$$(C_2F^{\mu\alpha} + C_4\tilde{F}^{\mu\alpha})(\cot F\tau)_{\alpha\beta} = g^\mu_\beta(2C_3)^{-1}[(C_2^2 - C_4^2)SpF\tilde{F} - 2C_2C_4SpF^2]. \quad \text{(A.20)}$$

Then, using explicit form of C_j (4.13), we find relation

$$C_1C_3 = (C_2^2 - C_4^2)SpF\tilde{F} - 2C_2C_4SpF^2, \quad \text{(A.21)}$$

which, together with the previous relation, proves Eq.(A.19) and, consequently, Eq.(4.15).

Thus, $\rho^{\mu\nu}$ and $\rho_5^{\mu\nu}$ are equal to zero, and, in view of Eq.(A.11), $\omega^{\mu\nu}$ is also equal to zero, whereas $\omega_5^{\mu\nu}$ is equal to $\lambda_5^{\mu\nu}$ (A.13), which with the use of Eq.(4.5) is recast into the form with dual field $\tilde{F}$ eliminated, resulting in Eq.(4.18).

References

[1] S.M. Carroll, G.B. Field, and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D41 (1990) 1231.

[2] D. Colladay and V.A. Kostelecky, Phys. Rev. D55 (1997) 6760; D58 (1998) 116002.

[3] S. Coleman and S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. D59 (1999) 116008.

[4] R. Jackiw, Comm. Mod. Phys. A1 (1999) 1.

[5] CPT and Lorentz Symmetry, V.A. Kostelecky, Editor (World Scientific, Singapore, 1999).

[6] R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. D23 (1981) 2291.

[7] M. Goldhaber and V. Trimble, J. Astrophys. Astron. 17 (1996) 17; S.M. Carroll and G.B. Field, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 2394.

[8] R. Jackiw and V.A. Kostelecky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 3572.

[9] W.F. Chen, Phys. Rev. D60 (1999) 085007.

[10] M. Perez-Victoria, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 2518.

[11] J.-M. Chung and P. Oh, Phys. Rev. D60 (1999) 067702; J.-M. Chung, Phys. Lett. B461 (1999) 138.

[12] A.A. Andrianov, P. Giacconi, and R. Soldati, JHEP 0202 (2002) 030.

[13] R. Jackiw, Intern. J. Mod. Phys. 14 (2000) 2011.

[14] M. Perez-Victoria, JHEP 0104 (2001) 032.

[15] O.A. Battistel and G. Dallabona, Nucl. Phys. B610 (2001) 316.

[16] J.-M. Chung, Phys. Rev. D60 (1999) 127901.

[17] M. Chaichian, W.F. Chen, and R. Gonzalez Felipe, Phys. Lett. B503 (2001) 215.

[18] J.-M. Chung and B.K. Chung, Phys.Rev. D63 (2001) 105015.

[19] Yu.A. Sitenko, Phys. Lett. B 515 (2001) 414.

[20] G. Bonneau, Nucl. Phys. B593 (2001) 398.

[21] C. Adam and F.R. Klinkhamer, Phys. Lett. B513 (2001) 245.

[22] V. Fock, Physik. Z. Sowjetun. 12 (1937) 404.

[23] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 82 (1951) 664.

[24] A. Salam and J. Strathdee, Nucl. Phys. B 90 (1975) 203.

[25] J.S. Dowker and R. Critchley, Phys. Rev. D 13 (1976) 3224 .

[26] S.W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. 55 (1977) 133 .

[27] W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, Z. Phys. 98 (1936) 714.

[28] V. Weisskopf, Kgl. Dan. Vid. Selsk. Mat. Fys. Medd. 14 (1936) 6.